



April 9<sup>th</sup>, 2014

**APPROVED Meeting Notes**

**Dungeness River Management Team**

Dungeness River Audubon Center,  
2151 W. Hendrickson Road / Sequim, WA

**2:00 – 4:30 P.M.**

*Notes prepared by: Melissa Coughlin*

**Team Members/Alternates in Attendance:**

Michele Canale, North Olympic Land Trust  
Shawn Hines, Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe (alt)  
Cathy Lear, Clallam County (alt)  
Robert Beebee, Riverside Property Owner  
Robert Brown, Dungeness Beach Association  
Matt Heins, Estuary-Tidelands / Riverside Property Owners  
Ben Smith, Water Users Association  
Joe Holtrop, Clallam Conservation District  
Don Hatler, Sports Fisheries

Pete Tjemsland, City of Sequim  
Tom Martin, PUD  
Marc McHenry, USFS (alt)  
Judy Larson, Protect the Peninsula's Future

**Others in Attendance:**

Mike Gallagher, WDOE  
Ivan Stocker and Chief, Graysmarsh  
Marguerite Glover, Sequim Realtor  
Phil Martin, Retired physicist, resident  
Melissa Coughlin, DRMT Note-taker

**2:00 P.M.**

**I. Introductions/Review Agenda/Review & Approve March 2014 DRMT Draft Meeting Notes**

- Michele Canale (NOLT) chaired this month's meeting in Scott Chitwood's absence. DRMT members and attendees were welcomed. Introductions all around. Shawn Hines had a request from Ed Chadd for watershed plan implementation funding update from Cynthia Nelson. Cynthia was unable to attend today, so that item will need to be postponed. After it was determined enough DRMT members were in attendance for a quorum, Judy Larson moved to accept the February meeting notes; Ben Smith seconded the motion which was unanimously approved.

**Public Comment**

- NOLT's 5<sup>th</sup> Annual Breakfast was held on March 21<sup>st</sup>. The program included the presentation of the first annual "Out Standing in the Field" Award to Ron Allen at the Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe, for the decades of leadership by him and his staff in community efforts to maintain and restore the health of the Dungeness River. A NOLT update presentation to the DRMT will be on a future agenda.
- Michele Canale announced the Annual NOLT meeting is May 3<sup>rd</sup>, from 10-11 A.M. at the Fairview /Lake Farm Grange.
- Michele said NOLT has been working on the feeder bluff prioritization issue based on studies from Dave Parks and Jamestown' S'Klallam Tribe. Judy Larson asked if the bluff priorities will be addressed at the NOLT meeting. Prioritization is the challenge – and public feedback is wanted. Some parts of the area are armored (8-9 mile stretch). Judy suggested using the e-mail list/list serve from SMP for public involvement. This reminded Judy we hadn't been updated recently on the SMP. Cathy Lear said that currently they are in a state of transitioning staff members. They will carry forward.
- Drift cell prioritization should be completed by June. The scope of the project includes protecting the feeder bluffs that feed the spit so that the spit is preserved. Matt Heins said the spit is fed from two directions. The Port Williams drift cell is a Dungeness cell; it is just as important to the spit in contributing sediment from east to west. Robert Brown asked if the rip rap at 3 Crabs is included in the removal plans. Michele said there is some information appropriate to present to the DRMT (when asked if a presentation is available). The map work that needs to be completed should be finished in May. Shawn will ask Robert Knapp/Randy Johnson at Tribe if they can provide an update to the DRMT this summer.
- Phil Martin suggested another future topic for DRMT meeting: LiDAR mapping of the Dungeness Watershed (in light of recent mudslides). Cathy Lear said that Dave Parks has been working on the bluff and freshwater areas, too. On June 10<sup>th</sup> there will be a presentation on the erosion studies at the River Center at the 7:30 P.M. Olympic Peninsula Audubon Society (OPAS) meeting.
- Judy asked about requirements for engineering studies and analysis for additional risk with continued development in the bluff area. In critical areas, if an area is identified as in an area of risk a geo-technical report should be

required by the county. Further discussion included volunteer buy-outs of the area in risk, Judy asked about funding available for this; banks should have an obligation to disclose the possibilities of risk for mudslides and other natural disasters. Cathy Lear said that at the local workshops for marine bluff homeowners - many owners who attended were surprised to learn the bluffs weren't stable and made of granite.

## II. Ecology Update on Petition to Amend the Dungeness Water Rule – Mike Gallagher, WDOE

- The Olympic Resource Protection Council (ORPC) petitioned WDOE to amend Chapter 173-518 WAC, Water Resources Management program for the Dungeness portion of the Elwha-Dungeness - Water Resources Inventory Area (WIRA 18). Mike Gallagher handed out copies to DRMT of the response letter from the DOE to ORPC, dated March 18, 2014 regarding their petition. ORPC raised four areas of concern: 1) Rule established reserves of water for domestic use that rely on a finding that the overriding consideration of the public interest (OCPI) will be served. 2) Method used for setting instream flow levels, and reliance on those flow levels to establish closures of streams in the watershed to new water appropriations. 3) Factoring in the influence of past stream flow restoration projects. 4) A “maximum net benefits” test for the instream flow levels is needed, since, in ORPC’s view, the instream flow levels are in excess of base flows.
- To address the concerns, ORPC requested the following from WDOE: Amend the rule through a process that includes close coordination with the County and participation by all affected stakeholders, including representatives of rural property owners; Amend the rule to establish revised minimum flows meeting the requirements of RCW 90.03.345 and RCW 90.03.290, and based on an evaluation of flow requirements as they exist today, not as they existed over 20 years ago; Conduct a new assessment of the net impacts on streamflows of anticipated rural groundwater withdrawals; Establish a reserve of water utilizing authority under RCW 90.54.050(1), to meet the demand associated with build out conditions consistent with Clallam County’s adopted land use plans and designations; Give highest priority to amending the Dungeness rule, suspending Ecology’s work on rulemaking in other watersheds across Washington.
- When reviewing the petition, WDOE considered the following: 1) Unlike the 2006 amended Skagit Rule, Dungeness water management rule allows the use of water from the reserves for domestic purposes only and requires mitigation of that use. ORPC’s request for an uninterruptible reserve of water to meet future residential water demand at full build out could not be established without conflicting with instream flows adequate to protect the fisheries resource. 2) Each closure established in the adopted water management rule is appropriately based on a finding that water is not available for new appropriations. 3) The “Regulation Review” section of the rule, (WAC 173-518-120), calls for an assessment of whether new studies are needed when target flows are attained in the Dungeness River. The Local Leaders Water Management Work Group (LLWG) process that sought local solutions to the water management issues in the Dungeness affirmed 105 cubic feet per second as the low-flow season interim target flow in their final report dated March 5, 2012. (see: [http://www.clallam.net/HHS/EnvironmentalHealth/committee\\_LLGW.html](http://www.clallam.net/HHS/EnvironmentalHealth/committee_LLGW.html).) 4) The regulatory instream flow levels established in the adopted rule represent ecologically-based minimum flows necessary to protect and preserve fish populations, including Endangered Species Act listed species, and other instream resources, and do not “enhance” instream resources. Regulatory instream flows are not established through the water right permitting process, and, thus, the criteria in RCW 90.03.290 are not applicable in the context of instream flow rulemaking. Instead, the authority and factors governing the establishment of instream flows through rulemaking are provided under provisions of RCW 90.22 and RCW 90.54. 5) Since the instream flows set in this rule do not enhance instream resources, Ecology Policy 2025 has been correctly applied and a Maximum Net Benefits determination is not required for this rule. (see Policy 2025 at: <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wr/rules/images/pdf/pro2025.pdf>) 6) Regarding ORPC’s request to give highest rulemaking priority to the Dungeness, Ecology has to consider the needs of all 62 watersheds in our state. Ecology’s resources for rulemaking are very limited. Ecology is currently engaged with rule development proposals for three other watersheds: Cowlitz, Grays-Elochoman, and Spokane. Over half of Washington’s watersheds are not covered by water management rules to date therefore imperative that focus remain on getting new rules adopted where none exist. Due to limited staffing and budgetary resources Ecology can only work on previously identified highest priority rulemaking efforts.

- Ecology's position is that alternatives to rulemaking can address ORPC's concerns: Work together to achieve significant progress towards ORPC's interests without amending the rule. Ecology Director has directed staff to continue to make progress on improved efficiencies in rule implementation by staying actively engaged with the Dungeness Rule Implementation Forum and the Water Exchange Advisory Council. Rule Implementation Forum: Ecology works with the County, real estate agents, builders, irrigators, Tribes and other interests to develop improvements to the administrative process for acquiring mitigation and meeting the requirement under the Growth Management Act to demonstrate adequate water availability. This forum designed to ensure transparency on how the new Dungeness water management rule is being implemented, identify problems, and generate constructive ideas to assist people and improve rule implementation. 6 meetings have occurred to date, 4 more scheduled this year. In addition, Washington State is investing \$2.025 million in state funds for restoration and mitigation projects in the Dungeness watershed. As specified in the budget proviso from the Legislature, Ecology worked with local leaders to prioritize projects for this funding. Ecology and local leaders also recognize the need for mitigation availability in the southern portion of the watershed and have identified an investment of \$100,000 to address the availability of water for outdoor use in that area. The Dungeness Water Exchange Advisory Council provides input and advice on stream flow restoration and mitigation projects conducted by the Dungeness Water Exchange, a program administered by the Washington Water Trust. Ecology remains committed to participation in the Advisory Council, along with other Council members: Clallam County, City of Sequim, Clallam County PUD, Clallam Conservation District, Department of Fish and Wildlife, Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe, and Dungeness Water Users Association.
- Dungeness Water Exchange is offering a new stock water package with another one-time mitigation fee. Judy Larson said if the Spokane reference to implement instream flow rule has ESA impact, it may justify setting instream flow. Mike was not sure about ESA protected stock in the Spokane River, but the goal to get instream flow established is justified by DOE to prepare for possible legal battles. Judy asked if the public had access to information from the forums and advisory council meetings. Mike said formal notes aren't taken, check website for Dungeness Rule announcements: <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wr/instream-flows/dungeness.html> .
- Ecology will continue to work with interested parties, including Clallam County, to find ways to secure mitigation water to offset future outdoor water use needs in the upper portion of the Dungeness Watershed. The challenges of maintaining a sustainable water supply in Washington State is are increasing and the Dungeness Watershed is not the only river basin in Washington with this problem. Many property owners are caught in the middle of several basins throughout the state and the answers to these challenges will neither be easy nor inexpensive
- Judy asked about meeting the obligations of the water efficiency goals in this watershed. Mike said if the goals aren't met no new water rights will be given by DOE when they are requested. DOH and DOE make efforts to encourage group "A" systems to constantly improve on leaky pipes issue (with a target of 5-10%). Mike said a major problem is leaking, 39% was reported in one area.
- Robert commented on the model being used to help with mitigation decisions, saying that the model contains some inaccuracies. Mike said they use the Groundwater Model that was updated by the Pacific Groundwater Group and adopted in the Rule. They will continue to use the model and mitigation calculator and make improvements on the model (with peer reviews) as work progresses.
- Robert added that he has not seen any data from the water meters required by the Dungeness water management rule. Mike said only about 30 meters have been installed; when they are all installed DOE will read remotely and monitor perhaps twice a year. Will coordinate with Washington Water Trust (WWT). Robert asked given they do not have all the readers in place, how will we know when it is all operational.
- Don Hatler heard repeatedly that the water trust mechanism (the Exchange) was to be funded by mitigation and license fees; now hearing DOE will pay for enforcement and meter readers; in the future will the Exchange be self-sustaining?. Mike replied that DOE will take care of enforcement; the collection of data can be contracted out.
- Marguerite Glover referred to a slide that mentioned 180 cfs was an ecologically defensible minimum flow. She said that different groups would challenge that; she said that Gary Smith had once said the Dungeness River would not even meet a 105 cfs flow requirement, unless a huge storage projected is established.
- Judy brought up the proposed Battelle well which, although outside the Dungeness water management rule, will certainly impact areas of the Dungeness water management rule. Mike said that although Battelle is outside the

boundaries of the rule, they have been told that the projected impact is significant, and they would have to mitigate.

- Shawn asked if the ORPC petition is now settled. Mike said the ORPC has taken it to the Thurston Supreme Court and it is now up to the attorneys.

### III. **Strait Action Area Near Term Actions for 2014 – 2016 Puget Sound Action Agenda** – *John Cambalik, Coordinator, Strait Ecosystem Recovery Network*

- John introduced himself as the coordinator for the Strait Ecosystem Recovery Network, a local integrating organization of the Puget Sound Partnership (PSP). “Strait Action Area Local Near Term Actions” 10-page handout was distributed.
- 2007: State Legislature enacted the PSP Act. Members of the Leadership Council and Science Panel were selected. The first PSP Action Agenda was completed in September of 2008. Feedback (from DRMT and others) was added to the update in 2012. The final draft for near term actions for 2014-2016 Action Agenda has just been completed. This is out for comments until midnight, April 30<sup>th</sup>. Final adoption by the Leadership Council is expected in June. [http://www.psp.wa.gov/2014\\_action\\_agenda\\_update.php](http://www.psp.wa.gov/2014_action_agenda_update.php).
- The Action Agenda is a roadmap to ecosystem recovery with specific actions. New: numeric performance measures are included for the entire area. Our Action Area supplied 39 near-term actions and 9 other areas contributed the same to their plans. Additionally, the region-wide actions were also reworked.
- John explained he is on contract with the Jamestown S’Klallam Tribe (as the PSP fiscal agent). Representatives from 5 local governments, 4 tribes, 3 business groups, all the educational institutions, as well as habitat recovery groups participate.
- Robert Brown wanted John to comment on the Dungeness Floodplain Restoration Project; Robert had heard that the project had been stopped due to lack of funding. Cathy Lear reported that the Army Corps of Engineers had received funding after the government sequester and will soon receive match from local offices. Preliminary work will begin in July and wrap up by September 30, 2014. The Feasibility Study will be out soon. Cathy will keep group updated. John Cambalik said the project is listed on the Action Agenda.
- Judy Larson asked about any restoration efforts for eelgrass included. John said that if it is listed on the Lead Entity 3-year work plan, it is represented (2 Lead Entities have submitted their 3-year plans).
- John walked group through the handout, explaining categories: Highest Priority Local Strategy Category; Strait Action Area NTA ID# and Description; Relative Priority or sequence (of the action); Performance Measure for 2014-2016; Primary Owner(s) in bold type; Puget Sound 2020 Ecosystem Recovery Targets.
- Robert Brown wanted to return to the Floodplain Restoration Project: years ago he heard a plan to relocate dikes southwest of the Old Dungeness Schoolhouse, but have heard nothing since. John deferred to Cathy Lear who said the focus the next three years will be the Towne Road reach (middle reach on the map). This project is phased and dependant on funding.
- John thinks 23 out of 39 actions are Dungeness related projects.
- Ben Smith asked about the scope of the effort, and do recovery plans include actions to reduce pollution from the north. John thinks the Partnership and other agencies work with the Canadian government in determining locations and size of new treatment plants in Victoria vicinity. John noted that during a drift card study cards from Victoria showed up at the Dungeness Spit. Matt said there was also a circulation study done inside our area that showed the Dungeness River is the cause of many problems at Dungeness Bay.
- Don Hatler asked if there was a way to determine if the Action Agenda has been successful. John pointed out the column for performance measures for each item; John said these are mid-range indicators. Other parameters determine specific numbers. Don thought those numbers would be useful to circulate as our recovery targets. John said they use to talk about “3 Levels of Monitoring: Implementation Monitoring: did you do what you said you’d do; Effectiveness Monitoring: Is it functioning to create the habitat described in the plan; Validation Monitoring: did it actually produce the number (of Chinook, for example) projected.
- Judy Larson asked about the status of the document noted on the last page, under #38 “Develop, adopt and implement a Water Resources Management Program Rule for eastern Clallam County’s portion of Watershed Resource Inventory Area 17 (WRIA 17)”. John said to talk with Cynthia Nelson (WDOE is the Primary Owner).

- Judy Larson suggested #37, specifically the “update of Ecp;pgu
- S 2003 FEIS on water conservation needs”, as a future DRMT agenda item. Leads: Clallam Conservation District and Washington Water Trust.
- Ben Smith, while applauding the efforts, wondered if money disproportionately went to planning efforts rather than on-the-ground activity. John said there has been a large influx of dollars from federal and state legislature for on-the-ground work. Salmon recovery efforts are an especially good example. Local level concerns: need to achieve reliable funding for local projects. More money is available for projects on the regional level. John explained the money is spent regionally and locally. All of John’s counterparts are concentrating on local level funding. The local money is passed through four state agencies (called Lead Organizations) which administer four federally funded “pots” of money from Congress. The EPA has contracts with the four state agencies for the next 2 years. There have been significant increases in funding dollars since the beginning of PSP, but not as high as planners projected.
- (Thanks to Norm Dicks) Puget Sound is recognized as an Estuary of National Significance, second only to the Chesapeake.
- Cathy Lear added with regard to (EPA) funding that comes from the Partnership, Clallam County has benefited with: Bluff erosion studies (discussed earlier) and workshops put on by Coastal Watershed Institute; the Ecosystems Services Valuation (across Clallam County to the nearshore); and through the Partnership, sums of EPA money to take a closer look at no-net-loss (of ecological functions) work from SMP. John added some are EPA funds distributed through the National Estuary Program. Ben noted the accomplishments Cathy listed are all studies and outreach activities (not on the ground activities). Cathy added the Puget Sound Acquisition and Restoration (PSAR) funds pay for many on-the-ground projects. John said it was the Partnership that got the money from legislature for the PSAR funds. Cathy has found the outreach to be very encouraging; they found at the workshops many bluff property owners thought they were living on granite bluffs and had no idea of danger from erosion; people need this type of education. Ben suggested proportionally only a smaller percent of the funds should be spent on Education and Outreach, while a larger percent should go to land acquisition and boots on-the-ground work. John said the two Clean Water Districts have reported that much of their activities have been possible through Partnership-driven funds. Don asked if the Puget Sound Partnership didn’t exist, wouldn’t the money have been directed through Ecology as it was with the irrigation efficiencies grant, wouldn’t we have done just as well, without added administrative expenses? John thought some of the projects may not have received as high a priority. Matt said in earlier days the money was spent on completing projects. Legislature needed to know dollars were well-spent, so now studies are first. John said a lot of the funds for the 3 Crabs Project have been Partnership-driven.
- John praised the new Executive Director of PSP, Sheida Sahandy.
- Robert Brown commented on the #1 action (from handout); climate warming will possibly affect the stream flows, especially in the Dungeness. John remarked that this is a local project, and in the past 3 months 8 proposals with 5 funding sources identified have been submitted.

#### IV. Other Business / Announcements

- March meeting minutes approval (see page 1).
- Clallam Conservation District Update – *Joe Holptrop, Clallam Conservation District*
  - Currently in the final phase of Dungeness Irrigation Group piping. Some clean-up work is all that remains. This means almost all Clallam-Cline /Dungeness systems have been put into pressurized pipe. This becomes an on-demand system: water comes out of the river only on when in use. No tailwater. There is one small Cline lateral which is not piped (on W. Anderson Road), at the time funds were assumed, turned out the County permit would have cost more than the project. That was several years ago, today the County Road Department is more cooperative and sees the benefits of these projects (better, safer roads) and now there is enough money to finish that lateral. There are 2 laterals (Dungeness Irrigation Group) that will remain un-piped as they continue to intercept rain water; piping would take a lot of planning as would solving the storm water issue without the open ditches. At build-out the water savings was projected: 2-3 cfs estimate for Dungeness Irrigation Group; estimate 6 cfs for bigger Cline portion. Monitoring the water taken from river.

- Floodplains by Design (discussed by Cheryl Baumann at previous meeting) is helping to fund the last Cline lateral and final phase of Dungeness Irrigation Group piping, hope it will pay for a Sequim Prairie Tri-Project (near Costco) - two laterals piped and aquifer recharge open trench. Those ditches intercept a lot of stormwater upstream of Hwy 101 and the water is then funneled to north side of Hwy 101 to a pipe which goes under the Penny's and Safeway complexes. Old pipe made of corrugated metal which is not in good enough shape to send upstream, hope to slip-line it with high density poly pipe. This project is in the design phase now for work off-season. Dungeness District would like to pipe lateral at Hendrickson and their main canal.
  - Cline Irrigation's main canal project is in the final stage of design. Hope in place for recharge in June. Need owner for this project (hopefully Cline Irrigation).
  - PIC: facilitating along with the Clean Water Group the development of a Pollution Identification and Correction Plan for the Sequim-Dungeness Clean Water District. The plan is on schedule for completion this fall, by September 2014. Submitted for implementation funds and found out they will get funds, (EPA and State Department of Health). Locations for sampling haven't been determined. Two types of sampling: Trends monitoring (StreamKeepers will handle) long-term, on-going at the same place – at mouths of all streams and waterways. Sample monthly. Using the data collected, once a year recommendations to Environmental Health for focus for next year. Agreement with StreamKeepers to begin source identification. Focus (DOH) is fecal coliform and will look at nutrients. Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe has agreed to be the lead for the targeted sub-basin sampling. End of year look at what's been done, look at the trend data and decide whether to continue.
  - Failing Septic Systems Repair in Clean Water District: Received referrals of five high priority problem septic systems in the Clean Water District from the Clallam County Department of Environmental Health – 2 owners have signed up for cost-sharing failing septic system repair. Up to 75% of the cost of the repairs, and up to \$1,500 for design work and permitting are eligible for reimbursement. Judy asked about the distribution of properties with failing septics on the list. Joe said 3 are close to Dungeness Bay and 1 is near Siebert Creek, 1 near McDonald Creek. This is a new program; the first in the state, future funding is unclear. Using Water Quality projects benefiting Shellfish grant money for this.
  - Inter-local agreement with StreamKeepers to analyze the available data and then use that information to select priority sub-basin (funded from PIC Planning Grant). PIC Implementation Grant will start in summer and that budget includes funding for StreamKeepers to continue with trends monitoring.
  - DRMT Operating Procedures: Judy Larson moved to accept changes and approve last edits of the Operating Procedures distributed by Shawn Hines, Robert Brown seconded the motion which then passed.
  - May 15<sup>th</sup> DRMT Field Trip: No May DRMT meeting at the River Center. Bring snacks/lunch & water.
- Draft Itinerary:*
- 11:30 Carpool from Tribal Center Parking Lot to Discovery Bay (need to start early due to tides).
  - 12:00 Tour and Discussion of Discovery Bay Restoration: Kevin Long or Sarah Doyle, NOSC
  - 12:45 Tour and Discussion of Tsunami Risk in Our Region: Dr. Brian Atwater, U.S.G.S. at Department of Earth & Space Sciences, University of Washington.
  - 1:45 Carpool to Tribal Center Parking Lot
  - 2:15 PowerPoint Presentation (Alderwood Conference Room at Tribal Center) on Olympia Oyster Restoration Project: Ralph Riccio, Shellfish Biologist, Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe
  - 3:00 Adjourn
- Open Actions were reviewed. Michele thought there was a new hire at Delta Farms who may be able to present. Perhaps someone can do the Army Corps feasibility study update at the October meeting (a year from the request).
  - Other comments: Robert Brown commented that he had called the Roads Department when the ditches overflowed with stormwater during the big rain last month. Workers came and cleaned out the ditch but didn't take responsibility for a pipe running alongside his property. The plastic pipe is situated at a low point so was probably used as a drain point.
  - Judy Larson noticed discrepancies in gages. Judy would like an update report on SNOTEL or water analysis with our current situation. Ben Smith responded that we are at 82% of normal snowpack in the Olympics as a whole; Dungeness Basin is lower at 72% to low 70s last week (in January it was 25%).

**Meeting adjourned at 4:30 P.M.**

**OPEN ACTIONS/TOPICS FOR FOLLOW-UP:**

UPDATE ON DELTA FARMS – (FROM 4/13 MEETING REQUEST)
CANYON CREEK FISH LADDER STATUS FROM WDFW (TOPIC SUGGESTED AT 10/13 DRMT MEETING.)
UPDATE ON SURVEY WORK FROM ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS --- WHEN IT PROGRESSES FROM PLANNING STAGE). (TOPIC SUGGESTED 0/13 DRMT MTG.)
LiDAR MAPPING OF THE DUNGENESS WATERSHED (IN LIGHT OF RECENT MUDSLIDES) (TOPIC SUGGESTED AT APRIL 2014 MTG.)
PRESENTATION ON: PSP ACTION # 37: UPDATE ECOLOGY'S 2003 FEIS ON WATER CONSERVATION NEEDS". LEADS: CLALLAM CONSERVATION DISTRICT AND WASHINGTON WATER TRUST. (TOPIC SUGGESTED AT APRIL 2014 MTG.)

**HANDOUTS:**

- “Strait Action Area Local Near Term Actions” 10-page handout from John Cambalik.
- Response letter from the DOE, dated March 18, 2014 to Olympic Resource Protection Council (ORPC) regarding their petition from Mike Gallagher, WDOE

DRAFT