

**Dungeness River Management Team - East WRIA 18 Project Ranking
2013 NOPL Project Proposals**

Proposed Project	Individual DRMT Member Scores 0 (lowest) - 10.0 (highest) or NS (no score)																	# of Scores Submitted	Score Average	Project Rank (1 = highest priority)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17			
A. Dungeness River In-Stream Flow Restoration	6	5	7	10	7	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	5	7.00	4
B. Dungeness River East Levee Setback	7	0	9	9	7	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	5	6.40	6
C. Dungeness River Riparian Restoration	7	5	7	7	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	5	6.20	7
D. Dungeness River Habitat Protection	NS	5	9	9	7	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	4	7.50	3
E. Dungeness River Large Wood	8	10	9	8	7	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	5	8.40	1
F. Three Crabs Restoration Construction - Phase I	10	8	9	8	6	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	5	8.20	2
G. Three Crabs Restoration Engineering - Phase II	NS	8	7	6	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	4	6.50	5

NOTE: DRMT consists of 13 voting members (and alternates) and 4 advisory members (and alternates). Advisory members were given the option of providing scores/comments. Members who are also TRG/LEG representatives (or are project sponsors or partners) either elected not to provide scores/comments, or had their alternate provide them. The Team used these criteria to help them in scoring: status/urgency, benefit to salmon, certainty of success, extent of promoting ecosystem functions and sociopolitical benefits. Five score sheets were received, none of which were from advisory members. Scores of zero are included in average, while scores of NS are not.

DRMT (East WRIA 18 CFG) SUMMARY COMMENTS:

1. Project E - Dungeness River Large Wood

The DRMT ranked Project E as having the highest priority for funding. Project E received unanimous (5/5) "high" ratings from reviewers for "benefit to salmon" and unanimous (5/5) "high" ratings for the "promotes ecosystem functions" criterion. It was one of three projects to receive an individual high score of "10" (there were 3/5 individual scores of 10 on 3 separate projects from 3 separate reviewers). Comments referred to benefits to salmon of large wood habitat, and need for this work. Two reviewers commented on location (upper river versus lower river).

2. Project F - Three Crabs Restoration Construction - Phase I

The DRMT ranked Project F as second in priority for funding. Project F received 4/5 "high" ratings (and 1/5 "medium" rating) for both the "benefit to salmon" and the "promotes ecosystem functions" criteria. It received "medium" to "high" ratings for both "certainty of success" and "socio-political" benefits". It was one of three projects to receive an individual high score of "10" (there were 3/5 individual scores of 10 on 3 separate projects from 3 separate reviewers). Comments mostly mentioned the benefits to the marine shoreline. One reviewer would have liked proposal to include more information on coordination with previous and linked projects in the area.

3. Project D - Dungeness Riparian Habitat Protection

The DRMT ranked Project D as third in priority for funding. The project received "medium" to "high" ratings for the "benefit to salmon", and it received 4/5 "high" ratings for both "certainty of success" and "promotes ecosystem functions". Reviewers commented on the urgency of completing this project at this time, with a willing seller and prior to further development in the area. Comments also reflected the benefit that

Dungeness River Management Team - East WRIA 18 Project Ranking
2013 NOPLE Project Proposals

completing the project at the same time, then a timing concern and priority for future development in the area. Comments also reflected the concern that protecting this land will aid in future restoration projects.

4. Project A - Dungeness River In-Stream Flow Restoration

The DRMT ranked Project A as fourth in priority for funding. The project received "medium" to "high" ratings for the "promotes ecosystem functions" criterion, and 4/5 "medium" to "high" ratings (and 1/5 "low" rating) on all other criterion. It was one of three projects to receive an individual high score of "10" (there were 3/5 individual scores of 10 on 3 separate projects from 3 separate reviewers). Reviewers commented on the benefits of nearly completing the piping of the Clallam-Cline-Dungeness Group's system, increasing the flows in the river during the low flow time, and improving conveyance efficiency.

5. Project G - Three Crabs Restoration Engineering - Phase II

The DRMT ranked Project G as fifth in priority for funding. The project received "medium" to "high" ratings for the "benefits to salmon" and the "certainty of success" criterion. It received 1/5 "low" rating for "promotes ecosystem functions" and "socio-political benefits". Two reviewer comments suggested that project would be better sequenced after completion of the related Project F (Phase I Construction).

6. Project B - Dungeness River East Levee Setback

The DRMT ranked Project B as sixth in priority for funding. Project B received 4/5 "high" ratings for both the "benefit to salmon" and the "promotes ecosystem functions" criteria. 3/5 reviewers mentioned the fact that this project is a high priority for DRMT. However, there were concerns about timing and readiness of project.

7. Project C - Dungeness River Riparian Restoration

The DRMT ranked Project C as seventh in priority for funding. Project C received unanimous (5/5) "medium" ratings for the "benefit to salmon" criterion. Reviewers had positive comments about the project, but felt other projects were more urgent or higher priority.