Proposed Project	2014 Individual DRMT Member Scores																_	Project Rank		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	# of Scores Submitted	Score Average	(1 = hiahest
A. Dungeness Riparian Habitat Restoration	NS	9	8	8	7	6	NS	5	7.60	3										
B. Lower Dungeness Floodplain Restoration	9	10	10	10	3	9	NS	6	8.50	1										
C. Dungeness Habitat / Large Property Protection	NS	8	9	9	7	7	NS	5	8.00	2										

NOTE: DRMT consists of 13 voting member- and 4 advisory member-organizations (and alternates). Advisory members were given the option of providing scores/comments or not. Members who are also TRG/LEG representatives (or are project sponsors or partners) either elected not to provide scores/comments, or had their alternate provide them. The Team used these criteria to help them in scoring: status/urgency, benefit to salmon, certaintly of success, extent of promoting ecosystem functions and socio-political benifits. Six score sheets were received, one of which was from an advisory member, and one of which only scored one project - due to their perceived conflict of interest with the other two projects. Scores of zero are included in average, while scores of NS are not.

## **2014 DRMT (East WRIA 18 CFG) SUMMARY COMMENTS:**

## 1. Project B - Lower Dungeness Floodplain Restoration

The DRMT ranked Project B as having the highest priority for funding. Project B was the only project to receive an individual highest score of "10", and it received three of them. It received the largest numer of "high" ratings for "benefit to salmon", "certainty of success", and "promotes ecostystem function". It also received the most number of "immediate" ratings for "Status/Urgency" of project. Supportive comments referred to the project's ability to address limiting factors, it's urgency (critical phase, shovel-ready), and the fact that it has been long-referenced as DRMT's number one priority. One reviewer commented on the concern that not all of the floodplain can be restored. Another reviewer, which provided the lowest score for this project, recognized potential salmon recovery benefits, but raised concern about protecting property owners against flooding.

## 2. Project C - Dungeness Habitat / Large Property Protection

The DRMT ranked Project C as second in priority for funding. Project C tied with Project B in the largest number of "high" ratings (5) for the "promotes ecosystem functions" criterion, and it received the highest number of "high" ratings in the "socio-political benefits" criterion. Supportive comments mentioned the larger protection area (compared with Project A), the scale of protection, and benefits to salmon. The one reviewer that scored this project lower than Project A commented that acquisition negotiations were farther along with Project A than with Project C.

## 3. Project A - Dungeness Riparian Habitat Restoration

The DRMT ranked Project A as third in priority for funding. While this project received the second largest number of "high" ratings for the "benefit to salmon" criterion, it received the lowest number of "high" ratings for both the "promotes ecosystem functions" and the "socio-political benefits" criteria. Reviewers commented on the benefits to salmon this project would promote, but comments also suggested that this project ranked below Project C due to the smaller area that would be protected. One commenter felt that benefits to salmon would be less immediate that with Project C.