

Proposed Project	2016 Individual DRMT Member Scores 0 (lowest) - 10.0 (highest) or NS (no score)																# of Scores Submitted	DRMT Score Average	DRMT Project Rank (1 = highest priority)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16			
A. Dungeness Floodplain Restoration: Kinkade Phase	8.0	7.0	10.0	NS	8.8	9.0	6.7	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	6	8.25	2
B. Dungeness Off-channel Reservoir Design	10.0	8.0	7.0	NS	9.0	9.0	9.8	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	6	8.81	1
C. Lower Dungeness River Floodplain Restoration	5.0	10.0	9.0	NS	9.6	4.0	8.2	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	6	7.63	3
<p>NOTE: The 2016 DRMT consists of 12 voting members and 4 advisory members. Advisory members are given the option of whether or not to provide scores. DRMT voting or advisory members who are also project sponsors did not score. The Team considered presentations, application materials and the following criteria in their scoring: status/urgency, benefit to salmon, certainty of success, extent of promoting ecosystem functions and socio-political benefits. Eight responses were received, including two which explained their NS was due to their organization being a project sponsor. Eight members did not respond, and automatically show a NS. Scores of NS are not included in the average.</p>																			

2016 DRMT (East WRIA 18 CFG) SUMMARY COMMENTS:

1. Project B - Dungeness Off-channel Reservoir Design

The DRMT ranked Project B as having the highest priority for funding. While each proposal received one perfect score of 10 (all from different reviewers), Project B was the only project to receive four scores that were 9.0 or above. Three out of six reviewers ranked it as their highest score. Project B also received the largest number of "high" ratings (five) for the Socio-Political Benefits category, and tied with Project A for the largest number of "high" ratings (five) for Certainty of Success. Reviewer comments were mixed. Comments recognized benefits to salmon, while also suggesting that other benefits may be higher, such as those to agriculture/farmers/community, or for addressing impacts to drought. One reviewer commented on the high expense, while another considered it a good investment.

2. Project A - Dungeness Floodplain Restoration: Kinkade Phase

The DRMT ranked Project A as second in priority for funding. Project A was the only project to receive all "high" ratings for a particular category, and it occurred in two of them: Benefit to Salmon; and, Promotes Ecosystem Functions. Project A tied with Project B for the largest number of "high" ratings (five) for Certainty of Success. Many reviewers indicated uncertainty about landowner willingness as a reason for not scoring it higher, and one reviewer indicated that the current willing seller is reason for urgency or time-sensitivity in funding this project. This project received the fewest "high" ratings (two) for socio-political benefits.

3. Project C - Lower Dungeness River Floodplain Restoration

The DRMT ranked Project C as third in priority for funding. Project C received numerous "high" ratings in several categories (second largest number of "highs" for both Benefits to Salmon (five) and Socio-Political Benefits (four), and tied for second largest number of "highs" for Promotes Ecosystem Functions (five). It did receive the lowest number of "high" ratings for Certainty of Success (four). It also received the two lowest scores, by two separate reviewers. Many reviewers commented about the project's importance and its history of being a high priority. One commenter mentioned the urgency of the project, another felt it less urgent due to the phased nature or extended timeframe, and another suggested the socio-political benefits weren't as strong as Project B.